

December 26, 2025

Company name: MIDAC HOLDINGS CO.,LTD.
Name of Representative: President Keiko Kato
(Code: 6564 Tokyo Stock Exchange Prime Market, Nagoya Stock Exchange Premier Market)
Inquiries: Director, Department Manager of Planning Department Hiroaki Takada
Telephone Number: +81-53-488-7173

Decisions to dismiss and reject the final appeal against MIDAC

As announced on March 13 2025 in "Notice Concerning Filing of Appeal against Midac and Application for Acceptance of Appeal" with respect to the lawsuit for the cancellation of treatment for permitting the installation of facilities in Controlled landfill site Okuyama-No-Mori Clean Center in Hamamatsu City, Hamana Ward, operated by MIDAC Co., Ltd.,(MIDAC), a consolidated subsidiary of MIDAC HOLDINGS CO.,LTD.(the "Company"), the company received the service of the Notice of Filing of Appeal, Notice of Acceptance on March 11 2025, the Notice of Acceptance of Appeal, and the Letter of Appeal and Application for Acceptance of Appeal. The Supreme Court dismissed the final appeal on December 24, 2025, and decided not to accept the final appeal against the filing of this final appeal and the petition for acceptance of the final appeal. The details are as follows.

1. Location and the date of the rejection of the final appeal and the petition for acceptance
 - (1) Court: The Supreme Court
 - (2) Decision date: December 24, 2025

2. The process leading to the filing of the final appeal and the petition for acceptance of the final appeal

In this litigation, as announced in the Notice of August 24 2020, "Permission to Establish Facilities treatment Concerning Subsidiary Participation in Rescission Litigation," MIDAC responded to Defendant Hamamatsu City's request for supplementary participation and subsequently, as a "Assistant Participant (Article 42 of the Code of Civil Procedure)," the "Participation by a Third Party (Article 22, Paragraph 1 of the Code of Administrative Litigation)" was granted and has been involved in this litigation.

As announced in the February 29 2024 "Notice Concerning the Judgment of the Suit for Revocation of treatment Permitted to Establish Facilities," the Shizuoka District Court rendered a judgment that ① the plaintiff's claim was dismissed, and ② the plaintiff should bear the cost of the lawsuit.

Subsequently, the appellants filed an appeal on the grounds that they were dissatisfied with all of the judgments rendered by the Shizuoka District Court on February 29 2024. As announced in the February 12 2025, "Notice Concerning the Judgment of the Suit for the Revocation of treatment for the Permission of Establishment of Facilities (the Court of Second Instance)", the Tokyo High Court rendered a judgment to dismiss ① all of the appeals in this case and ② that the appellants shall bear the costs of the appeal.

However, on February 26 2025, the appellants filed a petition for the filing of a final appeal and the acceptance of a final appeal, alleging that they are dissatisfied with the whole of the judgment of the appellate instance, and the Supreme Court recently filed a petition for the filing of a final appeal with the court of the last resort, and the

following "4. Details of the decision (summary)"

The final appeal was dismissed, and the decision of non-acceptance of the final appeal was made, as stated in the statement.

3. A person who has filed a final appeal and filed a petition for acceptance of a final appeal (appellant)

4 local residents residing in Inasa Town, Hamana Ward, Hamamatsu City

4. Details of the decision (summary)

1 The Court dismiss this final appeal.

2 The Court dismiss this petition.

3 The cost of the final appeal and the petition shall be borne by the appellant and the petitioners.

5. Future Outlook

There is no impact on the company's consolidated financial results.